

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/666,402	09/17/2003	Xin Xue	SONY-26500	9325
28960 HAVERSTOC	7590 02/20/200: K & OWENS LLP	EXAMINER		
162 N WOLFE ROAD			PRICE, NATHAN E	
SUNNYVALE, CA 94086			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2194	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/20/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/666,402	XUE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Nathan Price	2194			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,					
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period way reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON , cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 November 2007</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		•			
4) Claim(s) <u>1-83</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-83</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers		•			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
	VAN LAN	THOMSON			
	GUPEEVISORY	PATENT EXAMINER			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)			
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to communications received 28 November
 Claims 1 – 83 are pending. Previous objections and rejections not included in this Office Action have been withdrawn.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 28 November 2007 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 28 November 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Regarding claim 1, Applicant argues Kloba fails to teach filtering content and a middleware filter selectively sending the filtered content. Examiner respectfully disagrees. Kloba teaches filtering content and selectively sending the filtered content by sending changed objects [col. 14 lines 46 – 50]. Server 104 corresponds to the claimed middleware filter. The server 104 filters content from providers 128, which correspond to the claimed content servers (see also col. 12 lines

Application/Control Number: 10/666,402 Page 3

Art Unit: 2194

35 – 45). The filtering is based on whether or not an object has changed. If an object has changed, the server 104 sends it to the client 108, which corresponds to the claimed first network device.

4. Applicant's arguments regarding the other claims appear to be similar to arguments regarding claim 1. Accordingly, see the response to Applicant's arguments regarding claim 1 for the response to Applicant's arguments regarding the other claims.

Claim Objections

5. Claims 1 – 33 and 66 – 82 are objected to because of the following informalities:

It is not clear if the functionality associated with the middleware filter defines the structure of the middleware filter or describes the intended use of the middleware filter.

For example, it appears that the middleware filter can be merely a general purpose computer connected to the first network device and content server. It is not clear if such a computer must be programmed to perform the intended functionality in order to meet the requirement of a middleware filter connected to the first network device and content server as claimed or if a computer capable of being programmed at a later time to perform the intended functionality meets the claim limitation.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Application/Control Number: 10/666,402

Art Unit: 2194

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1 18 and 20 83 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kloba et al. (US 6,341,316 B1; hereinafter Kloba).
- 7. As to claim 1, Kloba teaches a network of devices to filter synchronized data, the network of devices comprising:

a content server to store content [col. 8 lines 15 - 28; col. 12 lines 35 - 45]; a first network device [col. 8 lines 15 - 28; col. 12 lines 35 - 45]; and

a middleware filter coupled to the first network device and to the content server such that during a data synchronization, content is received by the middleware filter from the content server according to the data synchronization and the middleware filter selectively filters the content resulting in filtered content and sends only the filtered content to the first network device [col. 5 lines 41 - 52; col. 14 lines 29 - 53; col. 20 lines 15 - 34].

8. As to claim 2, Kloba teaches the content sent by the content server includes metadata [Table 3; col. 6 lines 1 – 38; col. 15 lines 15 – 34].

Art Unit: 2194

9. As to claim 3, Kloba teaches the metadata includes a data type of the content [Table 3; col. 6 lines 1 - 38; col. 15 lines 15 - 34].

- 10. As to claim 4, Kloba teaches the middleware filter reads the metadata of the content received from the content server and sends the content to the first network device if the data type of the read metadata matches an authorized data type associated with the first network device [Table 3; col. 6 lines 1 38; col. 15 lines 15 34].
- 11. As to claim 5, Kloba teaches the middleware filter stores the authorized data type of the first network device [col. 4 line 66 col. 5 line 6; col. 8 lines 31 37].
- 12. As to claim 6, Kloba teaches the metadata includes an authorized network device type [Table 3; col. 5 line 64 col. 6 line 38].
- 13. As to claim 7, Kloba teaches the middleware filter reads the metadata of the content received from the content server and sends the content to the first network device if the authorized network device type of the read metadata matches a network device type associated with the first network device [Table 3; col. 5 line 64 col. 6 line 38].

Application/Control Number: 10/666,402

Art Unit: 2194

- 14. As to claim 8, Kloba teaches the middleware filter stores the network device type of the first network device [col. 4 line 66 col. 5 line 6; col. 8 lines 31 37].
- 15. As to claim 9, Kloba teaches the metadata is added to the content by the content server [Fig. 1; col. 28 lines 20 22].
- 16. As to claim 10, Kloba teaches the metadata includes data synchronization information corresponding to the data synchronization [col. 22 lines 12 37].
- 17. As to claim 11, Kloba teaches a display coupled to the middleware filter to display the data synchronization information [col. 8 lines 55 60; col. 12 lines 59 60].
 - 18. As to claim 12, Kloba teaches the data synchronization is a one-way data synchronization [col. 14 lines 46 53].
 - 19. As to claim 13, Kloba teaches the data synchronization is a bi-directional data synchronization [col. 5 lines 35 40].
 - 20. As to claim 14, Kloba teaches the middleware filter is within a second network device and the second network device comprises a personal computer [col. 8 lines 15 28].

- 21. As to claim 15, Kloba teaches the first network device comprises a PDA [col. 10 lines 32 42].
- 22. As to claim 16, Kloba teaches the content server comprises a web server [col. 4 lines 54 58; col. 27 lines 12 24].
- 23. As to claim 17, Kloba teaches the middleware filter is within a second network device and the second network device comprises a server [col. 5 lines 41 67; col. 6 lines 25 38; col. 14 lines 29 53].
- 24. As to claim 18, Kloba teaches a second network device coupled in between the content server and the first network device, wherein the second network device includes the middleware filter [col. 5 lines 41 52; col. 7 line 66 col. 8 line 7].
- 25. As to claim 20, see the rejections of claims 1, 14, 15 and 18.
- 26. As to claims 21 33, see the rejections of claims 2 13 and 16.
- 27. As to claim 34, see the rejections of claims 1 and 18.
- 28. As to claims 35 50, see the rejections of claims 2 17.

Application/Control Number: 10/666,402

Art Unit: 2194

- 29. As to claim 51, see the rejection of claim 1. Also, see Kloba column 5 lines 41 67 and column 20 lines 15 18.
- 30. As to claims 52 65, see the rejections of claims 2 13, 15 and 16.
- 31. As to claims 66 78, 80 and 81, see the rejections of claims 1 13, 15 and 16.
- 32. As to claim 79, see the rejections of claims 14 and 18.
- 33. As to claim 82, see the rejections of claims 17 and 18.
- 34. As to claim 83, see the rejection of claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 35. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kloba (US 6.341,316 B1).

Application/Control Number: 10/666,402 Page 9

Art Unit: 2194

36. As to claim 19, Kloba at least implies the content server can include the middleware filter because Figure 36 shows the clients connected to the server without showing an external content provider. Furthermore, Kloba teaches the providers can include a server that provides content and is similar to the server 104 shown in Figure 1 [col. 12 lines 35 – 44]. Therefore, it is at least implied that the server in Figure 36 can also provide the content, making it obvious to have the content server include the middleware filter.

Conclusion

- 37. The prior art made of record on the P.T.O. 892 that has not been relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Careful consideration of the cited art is required prior to responding to this Office Action, see 37 C.F.R. 1.111(c).
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nathan Price whose telephone number is (571) 272-4196. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:00am 2:30pm, Monday Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Thomson can be reached on (571) 272-3718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 10

Application/Control Number: 10/666,402

Art Unit: 2194

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

NP

WILLIAM THOMSON
WILLIAM THOMSON
CUEERVISORY PATERY EXAMINER